

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,468	01/31/2002	Neil Tilbor	204-6 (US)	3502
24336 75	590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
KEUSEY, TUTUNJIAN & BITETTO, P.C.			FRANCIS, FAYE	
	ENTER AVENUE, SUITE NGTON, NY 11050	3 128	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3712	11
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	, 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/066,468	TILBOR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Faye Francis	3712	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 N</u>	lovember 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 14-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected t drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not priority under 35 U.S.C at sentence of the specific priority under 35 U.S.C at sentence of the specific priority under 35 U.S.C at priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No n received in this National Stage of received. c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application cation or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. c. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/066,468

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1, "said opposing pairs" should be replaced with --opposing pairs--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9-10 and 12 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tilbor et al [5,429,543], hereinafter Tilbor.

Tilbor discloses a radio control toy vehicle 10 having an overall vehicle mass comprising: a body 12, a rear pair of gyroscopic action wheels 25-26, at least one front gyroscopic action wheel and means for selectively driving the gyroscopic action wheels at speeds to create a gyro effect at each of the wheels, the gyro effect generating centrifugal forces at each wheel, wherein the centrifugal forces are transformed in torque reactions on the entire toy when at least one of the gyroscopic action wheels is instantaneously reversed through the driving means [col 1 lines 32-68] as recited in claims 1 and 9. Additionally, Tilbor discloses, a first reversible motor 31 and gearing 30 for driving a first pair of side wheels defined by one of the rear pair of wheels and one of the front pair disposed on one side of the chassis [wheels 23 and 25], a second

Application/Control Number: 10/066,468 Page 3

Art Unit: 3712

reversible motor 41 and gearing for driving a second pair of side wheels defined by the other of the rear pair of wheels and the other of the front pair of wheels disposed on the other side of the chassis [wheels 26 and 24] as recited in claims 3 and 9-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 11 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tilbor in view of George et al, hereinafter George.

Tilbor discloses most of the elements of these claims as stated above.

Tilbor does not disclose each of the wheels have a diameter, and the diameters are equal to each other as recited in claims 6 and 11.

George is cited to show desirability, in the relevant art, to have a radio control toy vehicle with wheels having equal diameters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Tilbor with the missing elements as taught by George in order to make the toy more fun to play with.

6. Claims 8 and 13 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tilbor in view of Tilbor et al [6,024,627], hereinafter Tilbor 627.

Tilbor discloses most of the elements of these claims as stated above.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,468

7. Control Hambon. 107000,400

Art Unit: 3712

Tilbor does not disclose each of the wheels have an outer circumferential surface having varying coefficients of friction based on the point of contact with a running surface on which the toy is being operated as recited in claims 8 and 13.

Tilbor 627 is cited to show a desirability, in the relevant art, to have wheels with varying coefficients of friction [col 5 lines 21-45 and col 8 lines 5-15]. It would have been obvious to provide the device of Tilbor with the missing elements as taught by Tilbor 627 in order to allow the toy to play on carpets while playing inside the house.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2 and 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument on page 8-second paragraph that the Tilbor fails to disclose or suggest the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 9, the examiner would like to point out that although the Tilbor reference may not specifically refer to each and every element of these claims, the claims read on the reference as noted herein. For example the rear pair of wheels 25-26 and front pair of wheels 23-24 are considered to be gyroscopic action wheels because they inherently create gyroscopic effect as they turn [note the defination of "gy·ro·scope" (ji¹re-skop') noun A device consisting of a spinning mass, typically a disk or wheel, mounted on a base so

Application/Control Number: 10/066,468 Page 5

Art Unit: 3712

that its axis can turn freely in one or more directions and thereby maintain its orientation regardless of any movement of the base, according to Microsoft bookshelfe basics]. Additionally, Tilbor discloses means [the reversible motors 31 and 41] for selectively driving the gyroscopic action wheels at speeds to create a gyro effect at each of the wheels, the gyro effect generating centrifugal forces at each wheel [since the wheels inherently have weight] note the defination of **centrifugal force** [noun The component of apparent force on a body in curvilinear motion, as observed from that body, that is directed away from the center of curvature or axis of rotation] according to Microsoft bookshelfe basics.

The applicant also argues that the Tilbor fails to disclose that the centrifugal forces are transformed in torque reactions on the entire toy when at least one of the gyroscopic action wheels is instantaneously reversed through the driving means. In response it should first be noted that Tilbor clearly teaches reversal of the driving wheels through the action of the driving means, so the applicant's argument amounts to an assertion that although Tilbor discloses all that is structurally required in claims 1 and 9 the claims should be found to distinguish over the reference because of the functional recitation noted immediately above. On the other hand the examiner would like to point out that the above functional recitations are inherent in the Tilbor reference since as the wheels are reversed and the toy turns the centrifugal forces noted by the examiner in the discussion above inherently transformed in torque reactions on the entire toy. For the above reasons the rejections have not been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,468 Page 6

Art Unit: 3712

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 703-306-5941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,468

Art Unit: 3712

FF

Page 7

elegadis. Anno